The 1st
International Vocational Agriculture Symposium
Volume 1 Nomor 1 April 2026

Review of Effective Livestock Waste Management: A Key to Controlling Infectious Diseases and Mitigating Environmental Pollution

Dhealova Cindy Winarto, Muhammad Bagas Rizqila, Avela Riesdiansyah, Anggi Yuliatun Chikmah, Muhammad Nasrudin Al Haidar, Iman Aji Wijoyo, Nurdianti

Politeknik Pembangunan Pertanian Malang

History Article:
- Submit: August 2025
- Publish: April 2026

Keyword:
anaerobic digestion, antimicrobial resistance, biosecurity, composting, sustainable management

Corresponding Author:
dhealovacindywinarto@gmail.com

Abstract
The increasing global demand for animal protein has driven the intensification of livestock production systems. While providing economic benefits, this intensification also creates serious problems through greater volumes of livestock waste such as manure, leftover feed, bedding, and liquid waste. Improper management can become a major source of infectious disease transmission, accelerate antimicrobial resistance, and pollute water, air, and soil. The findings show that sustainable waste management must be integrated with strict biosecurity, including composting, anaerobic digestion, standardized storage facilities, and proper pest control and carcass disposal. Such measures suppress pathogen spread, lower greenhouse gas emissions, enhance soil and water quality, and generate valuable by-products like organic fertilizer and biogas. Thus, effective livestock waste management is essential for preventing infectious diseases, maintaining environmental sustainability, and supporting public welfare.This emphasizes the importance of effective livestock waste management to prevent infectious diseases, maintain environmental sustainability, and support public welfare. This study applied a literature review approach by examining scientific journals and relevant publications on livestock waste management, biosecurity practices, and their impacts on animal, human, and environmental health.

INTRODUCTION

Livestock production plays a crucial role in meeting the global demand for animal-based food products such as meat, milk, and eggs. This sector has grown rapidly over the past several decades, driven by population growth, urbanization, and changing dietary patterns. While this growth supports food security, rural livelihoods, and economic development, it also generates large volumes of waste. Livestock waste particularly manure and urine contains a mixture of organic matter, nutrients, pathogens, and various chemical compounds. When not properly managed, this waste can pose serious environmental and public health risks. As global livestock numbers continue to rise, the sustainable management of animal waste has become one of the most pressing challenges in modern animal agriculture.

One of the most critical concerns related to livestock waste is its role as a reservoir of pathogens. Animal manure often harbors numerous disease-causing agents, including bacteria, viruses, and parasites that are capable of infecting both animals and humans. Common bacterial pathogens found in livestock waste include *Salmonella spp*. and *Escherichia coli (E. coli)*, while viruses and parasitic organisms also persist in untreated or poorly stored manure. These pathogens can easily spread within animal production systems through contaminated feed, water, or housing facilities. Once established, they can trigger new outbreaks within herds or flocks, compromising animal health, productivity, and farm profitability.

Moreover, the implications of these pathogens are not confined to farm boundaries. Improper manure handling increases the risk of zoonotic transmission, where disease agents move from animals to humans. Farm workers, veterinarians, and individuals living in close proximity to livestock facilities face direct exposure risks through inhalation, skin contact, or accidental ingestion of contaminated materials. Indirect transmission can also occur when pathogens enter water sources used for drinking, irrigation, or recreation. This poses a serious public health threat, as zoonotic diseases are often difficult to control once they spread beyond their original source.

An equally pressing issue linked to livestock waste is its contribution to antimicrobial resistance (AMR). The widespread use of antibiotics in animal agriculture both for therapeutic and growth-promotion purposes leads to the excretion of antibiotic residues and resistant bacteria in manure. When waste is released into the environment without adequate treatment, resistant microorganisms can spread through soil, water, and air. This facilitates the transfer of antimicrobial resistance genes to other bacteria, potentially making infections in humans and animals more difficult to treat. This silent but significant threat has raised global concern, with international organizations emphasizing the need for responsible waste management as part of the broader effort to combat AMR.

Beyond health-related consequences, the environmental impact of unmanaged livestock waste is profound and multi-dimensional. Water pollution is one of the most visible effects. When manure storage systems are inadequate or when waste is directly discharged into water bodies, nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus are released in excessive amounts. This nutrient enrichment triggers a process known as eutrophication, characterized by algal blooms that consume dissolved oxygen, degrade water quality, and disrupt aquatic ecosystems. Furthermore, pathogens and heavy metals present in manure can contaminate drinking water sources, endangering both human and animal health.

Air pollution is another critical consequence of improper livestock waste management. During anaerobic decomposition, manure emits greenhouse gases such as methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O), which are significantly more potent than carbon dioxide in trapping heat in the atmosphere. These emissions contribute to global climate change, adding to the environmental footprint of livestock production. Additionally, volatile organic compounds and ammonia released from manure create strong, unpleasant odors that negatively affect the quality of life for nearby communities and may lead to respiratory problems or other health complaints.

The degradation of soil is a further concern. Although manure is often used as a natural fertilizer, excessive application can saturate the soil with nutrients and salts. This imbalance can reduce soil fertility, alter microbial activity, and even lead to contamination of groundwater reserves. Over time, this degrades the land's productivity, undermining sustainable agricultural practices. In some cases, the accumulation of heavy metals from feed additives in manure can further exacerbate soil pollution, posing long-term ecological risks.

The complexity of these issues underscores the importance of implementing effective livestock waste management systems. Traditional approaches such as open dumping or untreated land application are no longer sufficient in the face of expanding livestock production. Instead, integrated strategies are required, combining proper storage, treatment, and utilization of manure to minimize health and environmental impacts. Technologies such as anaerobic digestion, composting, and nutrient recovery can transform waste from a liability into a valuable resource, such as biogas or organic fertilizer. In addition, robust regulatory frameworks and biosecurity measures are needed to control pathogen spread and protect both animal and human health.

Ultimately, addressing livestock waste management is not only a matter of environmental protection but also a cornerstone of public health, food safety, and sustainable agriculture. *Pathogens* present in manure weaken biosecurity measures, creating a constant cycle of infection that threatens animal production systems. Environmental pollution caused by unmanaged waste affects water, air, and soil quality, leading to long-term ecological damage and health risks for surrounding communities. Without proper interventions, these challenges will intensify as the demand for animal products continues to grow.

Therefore, a holistic and science-based approach is essential to break this cycle. This includes improving farm-level waste handling practices, promoting research and innovation in waste treatment technologies, strengthening policy enforcement, and raising awareness among farmers, workers, and the public. By doing so, livestock waste can be managed in a way that protects health, preserves the environment, and supports the long-term sustainability of livestock production.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research uses a literature review approach that focuses on searching, selecting, and analyzing various relevant scientific sources. The literature reviewed includes research journals, scientific articles, international agency reports, and academic books that discuss livestock waste management, biosecurity, and their relationship to the spread of infectious diseases and environmental contamination.

The literature review method is chosen because it enables researchers to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the existing body of knowledge related to the research topic. This approach emphasizes the systematic process of collecting data and information from previously published sources, ensuring that the analysis is grounded in reliable and validated findings. The main stages of this method include identification, selection, evaluation, and synthesis of relevant literature. In the identification stage, various databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, and PubMed are used to search for publications using specific keywords related to "livestock waste management," "biosecurity," "infectious disease control," and "environmental contamination." This stage ensures that the researcher gathers as many potentially relevant sources as possible.

After collecting the initial set of literature, the selection stage is carried out to filter the sources based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria involve selecting articles published within the last ten years, written in English or Indonesian, and containing empirical data or theoretical frameworks related to livestock production and health management. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria include sources that lack scientific credibility, are not peer-reviewed, or do not directly address the research topic. This process helps ensure that only high-quality and relevant studies are used as references in the review.

The next step is the evaluation stage, where each selected source is critically analyzed to assess its methodological quality, data validity, and relevance to the objectives of the study. In this phase, the researcher examines how each study defines and measures key concepts such as biosecurity, waste handling, and disease transmission pathways. Evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of each publication allows for the identification of research gaps and potential areas for further investigation.

Finally, the synthesis stage integrates the findings from various sources into a coherent narrative. This synthesis not only summarizes existing evidence but also identifies patterns, contradictions, and emerging trends in the field of livestock waste management and biosecurity. Through this process, the researcher can draw conclusions about the current state of knowledge and formulate recommendations for future research or policy development.

Overall, this method ensures that the study is based on a strong theoretical and empirical foundation. By systematically reviewing diverse scientific sources, the researcher gains a holistic understanding of how livestock waste management practices and biosecurity measures influence the occurrence of infectious diseases and their environmental impacts. This literature review approach, therefore, provides both depth and breadth of analysis, supporting the formulation of informed, evidence-based conclusions relevant to the sustainability and health of the livestock sector.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The global livestock sector plays a crucial role in food security, rural livelihoods, and the global economy. However, the intensification of animal production has resulted in substantial environmental impacts, particularly from the mismanagement of livestock waste. According to the FAO (2023), animal husbandry accounts for a significant portion of agricultural greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, primarily from manure decomposition and enteric fermentation. When waste is not handled correctly, it leads to water eutrophication, air pollution, and soil degradation, while simultaneously acting as a medium for pathogenic microorganisms and antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. Consequently, livestock waste management has evolved from a peripheral concern into a central component of sustainable agriculture and biosecurity strategies (Kadam et al., 2024).

The transition toward sustainable livestock production requires a paradigm shift from waste disposal to waste conversion and resource recovery. This shift aligns closely with the circular economy (CE) model, which promotes the continuous circulation of resources within the production chain. In the context of livestock systems, CE principles can be realized through technologies that transform waste into valuable co-products such as organic fertilizers, biogas, and bio-based materials. Meanwhile, the integration of biosecurity protocols ensures that waste conversion does not become a new vector for disease transmission. The synergy between CE and biosecurity thus forms a foundation for a resilient and sustainable agricultural system (Almendrala et al., 2025; Symeon et al., 2023).

This review provides a comprehensive analysis of livestock waste treatment and conversion technologies, focusing on composting and anaerobic digestion. It also explores infrastructure and management practices that promote biosecure implementation and evaluates emerging innovations shaping the future of waste- to-resource systems.

A circular economy aims to minimize resource input, waste generation, and environmental leakage by closing material and energy loops (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2022). In livestock production, this translates to reusing manure and organic residues as inputs for soil fertility, biogas production, or feedstock for microbial processes. The concept aligns with regenerative agriculture, where waste is not discarded but reintegrated into the production system as a renewable asset.

Biosecurity, on the other hand, represents a set of preventive measures designed to reduce the risk of transmission of infectious diseases, invasive species, and biological contaminants (FAO, 2023). Within livestock systems, biosecurity encompasses management of animal health, housing, and waste disposal. When these two frameworks circularity and biosecurity are integrated, they create a closed-loop system where waste treatment contributes to sustainability without compromising health or safety.

Symeon et al. (2023) emphasized that sustainable livestock waste management requires both technological and behavioral adaptation. While CE-driven systems encourage resource recovery, they must be balanced with strict biosecurity measures to prevent outbreaks of zoonotic pathogens such as Salmonella, E. coli, or avian influenza. Thus, circularity and biosecurity are not contradictory but mutually reinforcing components of modern animal production systems.

Livestock waste consists of solid and liquid fractions, each requiring tailored treatment methods. Primary technologies include composting, anaerobic digestion (AD), vermicomposting, black soldier fly larvae bioconversion, and thermal treatment. Among these, composting and AD have emerged as the most scalable and eco-efficient technologies for integrated waste management in livestock farming (Kadam et al., 2024).

Composting is a biological process in which organic materials decompose under controlled aerobic conditions, resulting in a stable, humus-like product known as compost. It offers a simple, low-cost method for recycling livestock waste into valuable organic fertilizer. The process involves microbial degradation of organic matter through thermophilic and mesophilic stages, during which heat generation effectively inactivates most pathogens and weed seeds (FAO, 2023).

Recent advancements in composting focus on optimizing the carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratio, moisture control, and aeration systems to accelerate the degradation process. Kadam et al. (2024) reported that maintaining a C/N ratio of 25–30 and moisture content between 50–60% yields optimal compost quality with minimal odor emission. Moreover, the co-composting of manure with crop residues, sawdust, or biochar enhances nutrient balance and structural aeration, further improving compost efficiency.

From a circular economy standpoint, composting directly contributes to nutrient recycling by returning nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium back to agricultural soils. This reduces dependence on synthetic fertilizers, thus lowering production costs and mitigating environmental pollution from chemical runoff. Furthermore, the application of compost improves soil structure, microbial diversity, and water retention capacity, promoting long-term soil health and resilience.

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a biological process that converts organic waste into biogas (a mixture of methane and carbon dioxide) and digestate (a nutrient-rich slurry). AD operates under oxygen-free conditions and involves four microbial stages: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis (US EPA, 2025). Compared to composting, AD provides dual benefits renewable energy generation and nutrient recovery making it a cornerstone of sustainable livestock waste management.

The process efficiency of AD depends on factors such as feedstock composition, temperature, pH, hydraulic retention time, and the organic loading rate. Kadam et al. (2024) and Symeon et al. (2023) note that mesophilic AD (35–40°C) is widely preferred due to its stability and lower energy requirements, whereas thermophilic AD (50–55°C) offers faster degradation and better pathogen reduction, albeit with higher operational costs. Co-digestion—mixing manure with other organic wastes like food residues or agricultural biomass—has been shown to enhance methane yield by improving the carbon balance and buffering capacity of the substrate.

From an energy perspective, biogas can be used for electricity generation, heating, or as upgraded biomethane for vehicle fuel. The residual digestate, when properly sanitized, serves as a potent organic fertilizer or soil conditioner, completing the nutrient cycle within the circular framework.

Both composting and AD serve as key technologies in livestock waste valorization, but their applications differ based on farm scale, resource goals, and local conditions. Composting is relatively simpler and more suitable for small- to medium-scale farms with ample land availability. It enhances nutrient recycling and soil organic matter but does not recover energy. In contrast, AD systems are capital-intensive and technologically complex, best suited for large-scale operations where waste collection and energy use are centralized (Almendrala et al., 2025).

From a biosecurity perspective, both systems offer pathogen reduction potential. Thermophilic composting effectively eliminates pathogens through sustained high temperatures, while AD achieves disinfection through prolonged exposure to anaerobic conditions and microbial competition. However, post-treatment handling is critical improper storage or field application can lead to recontamination. Thus, integrated management protocols, including sealed storage tanks, pathogen monitoring, and hygiene controls, are essential for ensuring biosecurity integrity (US EPA, 2025).

Economically, both technologies generate value-added products. Compost has market potential as an organic fertilizer, while biogas and digestate from AD contribute to energy independence and fertilizer substitution. Almendrala et al. (2025) highlight that when combined in hybrid system where digestate undergoes composting as a secondary treatment maximum resource recovery and minimal environmental impact can be achieved.

Effective implementation of waste treatment technologies requires robust infrastructure, trained personnel, and adherence to standardized biosecurity measures. Modern livestock farms increasingly adopt integrated waste management systems that separate solid and liquid waste streams, incorporate sedimentation tanks, and install covered storage units to prevent contamination (FAO, 2023). Proper site layout is crucial: waste treatment zones should be located away from feed storage and animal housing areas, with clear flow paths to minimize cross-contamination risks.

Biosecurity measures in waste management include controlled access zones, disinfection points, protective clothing, and dedicated waste-handling equipment. Kadam et al. (2024) emphasize the role of temperature control and microbial monitoring in composting and AD facilities to ensure that pathogen reduction meets regulatory thresholds. Additionally, manure transport vehicles should be regularly sanitized, and treated waste should only be applied to fields after sufficient stabilization and pathogen verification.

Digital tools and sensor-based monitoring systems are increasingly integrated into waste treatment operations to enhance process control. Internet of Things (IoT) devices can continuously track temperature, pH, and gas production rates, allowing for real-time adjustments and improved system efficiency. These technologies bridge the gap between biosecurity assurance and circular economy optimization by providing transparent, data-driven management.

Recent years have witnessed a surge of innovation in the field of livestock waste treatment, driven by global commitments to carbon neutrality and sustainable food systems. While composting and anaerobic digestion remain the most established technologies, new bioconversion and resource recovery techniques are emerging as complementary or alternative solutions.

Pyrolysis, the thermal decomposition of organic materials in the absence of oxygen, produces biochar, bio- oil, and syngas. When applied to livestock manure, this process yields a stable carbon-rich product that can be used as a soil amendment, effectively sequestering carbon and reducing methane emissions (FAO, 2023). Biochar also enhances soil fertility by improving nutrient retention and water-holding capacity. Its porous structure can adsorb heavy metals and pathogens, making it a promising material for wastewater treatment and odor mitigation in animal housing systems (Kadam et al., 2024).

Integrating pyrolysis into livestock waste management supports both climate mitigation and circular resource use. Almendrala et al. (2025) note that hybrid systems combining anaerobic digestion and pyrolysis where digestate is pyrolyzed after biogas extraction can achieve near-zero waste output while generating multiple value-added products. However, economic feasibility remains a major constraint, particularly in developing regions where energy costs and technological access are limiting factors.

An emerging biological approach involves the use of *black soldier fly larvae (Hermetia illucens)* to convert livestock manure into high-protein biomass and nutrient-rich frass. The larvae efficiently degrade organic matter while significantly reducing pathogen load and odor. The harvested larvae can serve as a sustainable protein source for animal feed, while the frass can be applied as fertilizer, contributing directly to nutrient circularity (Symeon et al., 2023).

Studies demonstrate that BSFL treatment can reduce manure mass by up to 70% and pathogen populations by over 90% within days, representing a rapid, low-cost bioconversion pathway (FAO, 2023). Moreover, integrating BSFL systems with anaerobic digestion or composting can enhance overall efficiency larval residues serve as feedstock for biogas production, closing multiple resource loops within the farm system.

Microalgae-based treatment systems utilize nutrient-rich livestock wastewater as a growth medium for *photosynthetic algae*. These systems remove nitrogen and phosphorus while producing algal biomass that can be processed into biofuels, animal feed additives, or bioplastics (US EPA, 2025). The integration of algae ponds with biogas plants offers mutual benefits: CO_2 from biogas upgrading can be used to enhance algal growth, while algae biomass can be digested anaerobically to produce additional biogas.

The circular synergy between livestock and algal systems demonstrates the expanding frontier of waste to- resource conversion where biological, chemical, and physical processes are interconnected to maximize value extraction while minimizing pollution.

The environmental implications of livestock waste management are profound, encompassing GHG emissions, nutrient leaching, water contamination, and biodiversity effects. However, properly managed waste conversion systems can reverse many of these impacts by creating closed nutrient cycles and reducing pollution sources.

Composting and AD directly contribute to climate change mitigation by minimizing methane and nitrous oxide emissions that would otherwise arise from unmanaged manure decomposition. The IPCC (2023) reports that anaerobic digestion can reduce methane emissions from livestock manure by up to 80% while generating renewable energy that displaces fossil fuels. Similarly, composting, when optimized for aeration and moisture control, curtails methane generation and enhances carbon sequestration in soils.

Waste conversion products such as compost, digestate, and biochar serve as organic amendments that replenish soil organic matter, improve cation exchange capacity, and enhance *microbial biodiversity*. These effects lead to improved soil fertility and reduced dependence on chemical fertilizers. Almendrala et al. (2025) highlight that digestate application increases crop yields and reduces nutrient runoff when used under proper agronomic guidelines.

From a biosecurity perspective, waste treatment processes must ensure the elimination of pathogens, antibiotic residues, and resistance genes. Thermophilic composting achieves pathogen inactivation by maintaining temperatures above 55°C for several days, whereas thermophilic AD systems suppress pathogens through combined heat and microbial antagonism (Kadam et al., 2024). Monitoring protocols for *Salmonella spp., E. coli*, and *Clostridium perfringens* are recommended to verify sanitary safety before field application (FAO, 2023).

Moreover, the control of odor and vector attraction (e.g., flies and rodents) is critical in maintaining farm hygiene and community health standards. Integrating biofilters, covered storage, and regular sanitization minimizes exposure risks, contributing to broader One Health outcomes by protecting both human and animal health.

The success of waste treatment and conversion initiatives depends heavily on socioeconomic factors and enabling policy environments. Although technologies such as AD and composting are well-documented, their adoption remains uneven, particularly among smallholders. Barriers include high upfront costs, lack of technical knowledge, and inadequate policy incentives.

Waste valorization can provide significant economic benefits by reducing input costs and creating new revenue streams. Biogas and compost sales, energy savings, and improved soil productivity contribute to farm profitability. In rural communities, the deployment of biogas units has shown to improve energy access, reduce fuelwood dependence, and enhance livelihoods, aligning with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) on clean energy and responsible production (FAO, 2023).

Effective policy frameworks are essential to mainstream waste conversion technologies. Incentives such as feed-in tariffs, carbon credits, and renewable energy subsidies can make AD and composting projects financially attractive. At the same time, regulations governing manure management, environmental emissions, and product standards ensure safety and market acceptance. Kadam et al. (2024) stress that harmonizing waste management policies with animal health regulations promotes both circularity and biosecurity within national agricultural strategies.

Collaboration between government agencies, private sector actors, and research institutions is vital to scale up innovation. Extension services and farmer training programs also play an indispensable role in building local capacity for technology operation and maintenance.

The future of livestock waste management lies in integrated systems that combine multiple technologies to maximize efficiency and minimize risk. Hybrid systems that link anaerobic digestion with composting or biochar production represent the most promising configurations for circular resource use (Symeon et al., 2023). In such systems, solid fractions of manure are composted for soil application, while liquid fractions are digested for energy recovery, and residual digestate is further stabilized through pyrolysis. This integration supports energy nutrient carbon synergy, where every waste fraction finds a productive end use. It also ensures that biosecurity is embedded at every stage from waste collection and transport to processing and application under a unified management framework.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) tools can assist in evaluating environmental performance and identifying optimization pathways. Advanced modeling platforms now integrate bioeconomic parameters with environmental indicators, enabling policymakers and farmers to make data-driven decisions that balance cost, sustainability, and health outcomes (US EPA, 2025).

Livestock waste management has evolved from a basic sanitation concern into a fundamental pillar of sustainable and biosecure agriculture. Composting and *anaerobic digestion* have proven to be effective and complementary technologies for converting waste into valuable resources such as fertilizers, biogas, and soil conditioners while simultaneously protecting environmental and public health. When implemented under the principles of the circular economy and biosecurity, these processes not only close nutrient cycles but also contribute significantly to climate change mitigation and energy independence. Emerging innovations such as *pyrolysis***,** *black soldier fly larvae (BSFL)* bioconversion, and microalgae cultivation systems further expand the potential of waste utilization by creating multi-output systems that fully embody resource circularity. However, achieving widespread adoption requires strong policy support, capacity building, and integrated infrastructure aligned with both national and global sustainability goals. Overall, livestock waste treatment and conversion represent a paradigm shift from waste disposal to resource regeneration forming the foundation for ensuring future food security**,** environmental resilience, and public health in an era shaped by climate challenges and biosecurity risks.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTION

The connection between livestock waste management, infectious diseases, and environmental pollution is undeniable. By adopting a proactive and comprehensive sustainable management framework, centered on stringent biosecurity practices,farms can transform potential hazards into resources. This shift not only protects animal health and productivity but also fulfills the industry's responsibility to safeguard the environment and public health, ensuring the long- term sustainability of the livestock sector. For college articles, it is always recommended to include recent sources (within the last 5-10 years) from reputable scientific journals (such as Science of the Total Environment, Journal of Applied Microbiology, or Veterinary/ agricultural journals) that specifically discuss innovations in Sustainable Management or the impact of Biosecurity on the specific pathogen you mention (E. coli, Salmonella, etc.).

REFERENCES

Almendrala, C. M., Maluenda, A. G., Paringit, E. C., & Payawan, L. M. (2025). Enhanced methane yield in anaerobic co-digestion of slaughterhouse wastewater and food waste using ferric oxide as a conductive material. Environmental Research, 252, 120183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2025.120183

Challenges of pathogen inactivation in animal manure treatment systems: A critical review. (2022). Science of the Total Environment, 838, 156467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.156467

Cheng, Y., Zhang, L., Liu, M., & Wang, J. (2024). Integrating composting and anaerobic digestion for livestock manure management: A review on challenges and opportunities. Journal of Cleaner Production, 446, 140982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140982

Composting criteria for animal manure: Environmental performance and nutrient balance. (2023). Bioresource Technology Reports, 23, 101562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2023.101562

Deng, H., & Zhou, X. (2023). Circular bioeconomy approaches to animal manure valorization. Journal of Environmental Management, 342, 118181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118181

EPA. (2024). Guidelines and permitting for livestock anaerobic digesters. United States Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/agriculture

FAO. (2023). Livestock waste management and biosecurity manual. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org

Gao, Y., Wang, X., & Liu, T. (2024). Pest vector control and hygiene management in livestock waste systems: A review. Environmental Advances, 15, 100376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2024.100376

Gurtler, J. B., Doyle, M. P., & Kornacki, J. L. (2018). The microbiological safety of composting and biogas production from animal manure: A review. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 125(5), 1191–1213. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13983

Hidden pathogen risk in mature compost: Lessons for risk assessment and safe use. (2024). Waste Management, 178, 420–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2024.07.018

Kadam, R., Singh, R., & Nair, J. (2024). Pathogen inactivation and nutrient recovery in composting and anaerobic digestion: A comparative review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 190, 114202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2024.114202

Liang, C., Xu, Y., & Chen, H. (2022). Thermophilic composting and carbon sequestration potential of livestock manure: Mechanisms and benefits. Waste and Biomass Valorization, 13, 3101–3116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-022-01778-0

Liu, Z., & Chen, F. (2024). Hybrid composting-AD systems for sustainable manure management. Renewable Energy, 227, 123491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2024.123491

NCAT (National Center for Appropriate Technology). (2025). Farm anaerobic digesters: Technical and economic considerations. ATTRA Sustainable Agriculture Program. https://attra.ncat.org

Overcoming China's animal waste disposal challenge with composting. (2024). Nature Food, 5(6), 451– 454. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-024-00980-5

Rahman, M. M., & Hasan, S. (2022). Carcass disposal methods and their biosecurity implications in livestock production systems: A global review. Veterinary Microbiology, 272, 109504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2022.109504

Symeon, G. K., Dimitriou, M., & Batzios, C. (2025). Manure management as a strategy for climate change mitigation in livestock production systems. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 9, 1502741. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1502741

Tian, R., Zhao, D., & Chen, Z. (2024). Co-digestion of livestock manure and food waste for enhanced biogas production:A meta-analysis. Renewable Energy, 223, 120875. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2024.120875

Zhang, S., Li, M., & Ren, W. (2025). Electrochemical enhancement of anaerobic digestion using biochar and meta oxides: Mechanisms and performance Chemosphere, 354, 143277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2025.143277

Zhao, Y., He, C., & Xie, W. (2021). Odor and pathogen control in animal manure storage and treatment systems. Environmental Pollution, 292, 118278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118278